



Security Concerns of Internal Displacement: A case study of Non-Camp Settings in Kwara State, Nigeria

Mbombo, Jean Marie Kasonga
jm.mbombo@yahoo.com
+2348166953180

Shittu, Olufunke Mercy

Centre for Peace and Strategic Studies, University of Ilorin, Nigeria

Abstract

Internal displacement forces displaced persons to seek refuge in territories that are considered to be safer, including outside officially designated camps. This development brings up security concerns for internally displaced persons (IDPs) and host communities, thus increasing the likelihood of conflict. This paper set out to unveil the existence of IDPs in non-camp settings (NCS) in Kwara State, examine the security implications associated with their covert presence, and evaluate the strategies put in place by the State Government to address them. The study adopted a mixed method approach, in which 200 copies of a questionnaire were distributed to local participants in selected towns, out of which 188 copies were retrieved. A snowball technique was used to identify unregistered IDPs while a purposive sampling technique was useful in the selection of key informants for interviews. The study found that the security implications of hosting IDPs were numerous: feelings of insecurity, a threat to physical and mental health, a threat to life, vulnerability to harassment; increasing demographic imbalance, and condescending attitude of the host population among others. The study concluded that a high level of impoverishment in NCS threatens human security for the host population, as well as for IDPs, specifically, personal, community, economic and environmental security. The study recommended that the Kwara State Government should synergize with relevant stakeholders and the local communities, to identify and profile all victims of internal displacement for an effective response to security challenges.

Keywords: Security, Internal Displacement, Non-camp Setting and Host Community, Kwara

Introduction

In many parts of the world, entire populations have been forcibly displaced as a result of natural disasters such as flooding, hurricanes, drought, and earthquakes, to name but a few. However, the rising trend of internal displacement of persons (IDP) brought about by armed conflicts constitutes one of the greatest human tragedies of our time. The number of people reported to have been internally displaced and the complexity of internal displacement crises across the world have increased tremendously in the last decade (Internal Displacement Monitoring Center, 2018a).



The African continent has fared worse on the issue of internal displacement, as more than half of the world's IDPs can be found in Africa (Crisp et al., 2012; Internal Displacement Monitoring Center, 2019). In recent times, the wave of new displacement across Africa has been increasing so much that by the end of 2019, the global outlook of internal displacement showed that "1,790,000 people were internally displaced in Ethiopia; 5,668,000 people in DRC; 2,600,000 in Somalia; 246, 000 in South Sudan, 2,372,000 in Sudan and 2,743,000 in Nigeria" (Internal Displacement Monitoring Center, 2020, p. 2).

Nigeria has a history of mass displacement that dates back to the civil war of 1967-1970. In recent times, after a return to civilian rule (1999), the most populous black nation witnessed numerous instances of internal displacement stemming from attempts at the application of Sharia law in some northern states of the Federation, recurrent ethno-religious conflicts, and the Boko Haram insurgency in the northeast. Being a relatively peaceful State in the North-Central geo-political zone, Kwara, with an estimated population of 3,192,893 as of 2016, seems to be a preferred destination for internally displaced persons (IDP) given the fact that Ilorin, the State capital, has a predominantly Muslim population, and the city maintains strong cultural ties with northern Nigeria. Put differently, many victims of insurgency and other violent situations in the North would move down and find refuge in host communities that share similar values, norms, and worldviews with their places of origin of IDPs.

Statement of the Problem

According to the European Union Agency for Asylum (EUAA, 2021), 87 % of the IDPs in North-West and North-Central regions were displaced within their state of origin. As of January 2021, 309 231 IDPs were registered in the North-Central region but available data on the number of returnees in Kwara state could not be found. However, the influx of IDPs into communities in Kwara State continues unabated given that there seems to be no end in sight to the prevalent climate of insecurity in northern Nigeria. These unregistered newcomers roam the streets without a fixed location until they eventually find shelter within a host community. As the presence of strangers continues to grow numerically, local people become apprehensive of security threats.

For illustration, in 2015, a shootout between suspected Boko Haram members and security personnel, in a residential area in Ilorin metropolis, moved the State Security Council to constitute a security committee. The committee was given the responsibility to work out modalities for managing the influx of IDPs into the State (Abiona, 2015; Amade, 2015). Similarly, the Hausa community in Oko Olowo/Oloje, complained to the Kwara State Police Headquarters, about the presence and persistent arrival of large numbers of IDPs in the area. This development has been causing problems such as hooliganism and indoctrination, specifically, for the Hausa Muslim community in Oko Olowo, and other members of the community. In addition, in June 2019, commercial motorcycle operators in Ilorin, the State capital embarked on a protest against extortion, which turned into a mob action that breached public peace and destroyed public property (Ajikobi, 2019; Ogunwale, 2019).



Internal displacement in Nigeria has attracted the interests of many scholars concerning its causes, impact on food security, education, economic and psycho-social effects, etc. (Sanusi et al., 2014; Aderogba, 2018; Oyelude & Osuigwe, 2017; Nsude & Nwachor, 2017). This study has the merits of focusing on the presence of unregistered IDPs, now dispersed across different non-camp settings, to underscore some security concerns affecting local communities in Kwara State.

Conceptual Clarification

In this section, the use of some keywords is briefly clarified, such as security, internally displaced persons, non-camp setting, and host community without prejudice to the abundant literature on internal displacement. To begin with, the concept of security has been traditionally tied to the survival of states within the international system which translates into preparedness for war against potential aggression coming from enemy states (military capability). However, since the end of the Cold War, a comprehensive notion of security that includes the well-being of citizens has come to stay, being defined as human security. Consequently, state security at the borders means nothing if citizens' security is at stake. As one scholar contends, "Human security is not a concern with weapons. It is a concern with human dignity. In the last analysis, it is a child who did not die, a disease that did not spread, an ethnic tension that did not explode, a dissident who was not silent, a human spirit that was not crushed" (Mahbub ul Haq 1995).

The phenomenon of internal displacement of people emerged in the late 1980s, following the change in the pattern of conflicts, from inter-state to intra-state, and the shift of emphasis from state actors to non-state actors as drivers of conflict (Cohen & Deng, 1998; Haynes, 2010). Since then, two types of displacement with attendant responsibilities are considered. On the one hand, crossing international borders makes a displaced person a refugee whose protection befalls the United Nations High Commission for Refugees as stipulated in the 1951 Convention (UNHCR 2001).

On the other hand, displacement of people within national borders is the sole responsibility of individual governments to see to it that desperate citizens that forcibly move inside a national territory in search of a safer abode receive adequate assistance. To ensure that all displacement-inducing situations are captured, this study adopts the Guiding Principles' definition of the concept of internally displaced persons as "persons or groups of persons who have been forced or obliged to flee or leave their homes or places of habitual residence, in particular as a result of, or in order to avoid the effects of armed conflicts, situations of generalized violence, violations of human rights or natural or man-made disaster, and who have not crossed an internationally recognized border" (UNHCR 1998).

Non-camp settings (NCS) suggest informal locations where undocumented/unregistered IDPs can be found within the state, be it uncompleted buildings, school premises, market places to name but a few. Because not all penniless IDPs are willing to live on handouts from government and charity organizations in camps. While some throng public places as professional beggars, many others hawk the streets as day laborers and peddlars with no fixed address. Studies have found that



more IDPs are living in non-camp settings than those who live in clearly designated camps. Those among them who choose to live outside camps either settle down with families and acquaintances or they can afford to fend for themselves in rented accommodations in host communities (Beyani, 2013; Caron 2017).

Hosting implies the capacity to offer hospitality to strangers without any strings attached. It is more manifested in a country's opening its national borders to foreigners in need of protection with little or no involvement of local populations even though the latter can, on their initiative, offer to accommodate such strangers. The concept of host community connotes a positive relationship between hosts and guests but it can be misleading because the warm sense of welcome of IDPs cannot be assumed, particularly, when dealing with mass displacement for a long period. Unchecked, the influx of undocumented IDPs in a given community is noticeable with a rapid increase in the population and its attendant conflict over scarce resources such as water, sanitation, housing, and job opportunities, keeping the security of lives and property at the front burner of policymakers.

Literature review

This section highlights the possible nexus between internal displacement and security concerns as discussed in the literature with a special interest in non-camp settings. To begin with, security refers traditionally to the protection of the sovereignty and territorial integrity of states from external military aggressions (Buzan 1991; Acharya, 2001). The basic assumption of this position is that, if the State's security is guaranteed, then, the security of citizens is also guaranteed. However, many studies adjudged the State-centered perception of security as too narrow (Nye & Lynn-Jones, 1988; Baldwin, 1997; Acharya, 2001; Degaut, 2015). The human security approach was introduced by the 1994 Report of the United Nations Human Development Programme.

This paradigm shift changed the focus from the state-centric notion to making citizens the center point of security. It led to a series of discussions in the United Nations Organisation. According to the report, the scope of human security covers seven major areas of human endeavor as follows:

- i. Economic Security: assurance of basic income for people, usually from productive and remunerative work or publicly financed safety net;
- ii. Food Security: ensuring that people have access to food at all times;
- iii. Health Security: guaranteeing that all people have a minimum level of protection from diseases, intending to prevent avoidable sicknesses and deaths;
- iv. Environmental Security: protecting people from short-and long-term ravages of nature, man-made threats in nature, and deterioration of the natural environment;
- v. Personal Security: protecting people from violence, whether from the State, external States, predatory individuals, etc.;
- vi. Community Security: protecting people from sectarian and ethnic violence; and from loss of cultural relationships;



- vii. Political Security: ensuring that people live in a society that respects basic human rights, and also people's freedom from the State's attempt to exercise undue control over ideas and information (Human Development Report, 1994).

Gomez and Gasper (2013) stress that human security analysis is focused on threats; and the principle of prevention-oriented responses implies understanding the threats, to implement preventive measures. To ensure human security as freedom from fear of the perceived or actual threat of harm, therefore, the underlying cause(s) of insecurity should be investigated with a view to proffering solutions to identified threats. In other words, any discussion around the forced displacement of populations must take into account, immediate triggers, stages of intervention, or management of IDPs which includes voluntary return of the affected population to their former habitats.

However, undocumented IDPs are difficult to identify as they are found mainly outside government-run camps. According to the study conducted by Beyani (2013), non-camp settings refer to "a variety of settings, where IDPs live outside officially recognized camps" (p. 3). The scholar also notes that IDPs who live outside camps usually live with families or friends and also in rented accommodations in host communities. It is worth noting that host communities are territories, where displaced persons move, to avoid situations of natural or manmade disasters. Displaced persons also move into host communities to access necessary assistance to mitigate the negative effects of having to relocate from communities of origin unexpectedly.

Investigating the emerging trend whereby IDPs choose to live with host families as against living in camps, one scholar concludes that under this type of arrangement, non-camp settings could take the form of "allowing the displaced family to build shelters on the host family's property; allocating space in the house of the host family for the use of the displaced family; allowing displaced persons to occupy an outbuilding on the host family's property; and allowing displaced persons to use another house owned by the host" (Caron, 2017, p. 57). Similarly, Erong (2017), studies IDPs who live with host families in the Sulemanti community, in Maiduguri, Borno State, and observes that some of the IDPs live under the houses of host families (sharing the same roof) while others construct makeshift shelters on properties released to them by their hosts.

There is an ongoing argument about security threats associated with the presence of displaced persons in the host community (Fajth et al., 2019). Carillo (2009) contends that IDPs who live outside camps often live in informal settlements, where they build makeshift shelters. The study also notes that such settlements are usually located on the outskirts of cities and towns, in locations visibly lacking in social amenities. This position is illustrated by the informal settlement in Suba, on the outskirt of Bogota, Colombia (Arredondo et al., 2011); the Acholi quarters on the outskirts of Kampala, Uganda (Wyrzykowski, 2010); and Kuseri settlement in Maiduguri, Borno State (Mohammed, 2017). In addition, Controneo (2017), Kirbyshire et al. (2017), and Fielden (2008), all agreed that IDPs who desire to live outside camps may also live in rented accommodation, or occupy uncompleted buildings, damaged buildings, and public buildings, with or without permission of the owners or relevant authorities. They



may eventually cohabit in crowded accommodations to avoid the payment of exorbitant rents while facing vulnerability risks, especially for women and young girls.

In a conflict-induced displacement, the exposure of IDPs to violence may increase their likelihood to perpetrate future violence, while the relative social and economic deprivations from opportunities may increase their propensity to engage in criminal activities (Depetris-Chauvin & Santo, 2018). According to Mohammed (2017), tensions occur in host communities with poor infrastructure as a result of the pressure of the influx of IDPs. Carillo (2009) notes that the inability of IDPs to improve their livelihood over time may push some of them to adopt harmful coping strategies, including criminal acts, to have sufficient income to cover costs of food, accommodation, etc.; while poverty, social exclusion and lack of opportunities may make young IDPs living in non-camp settings to become vulnerable to the influence of urban criminality.

When it comes to insecurity as a result of mismanagement of the IDPs crisis, a study on urban displacement in the 21st century notes that the presence of IDPs in host communities often generates suspicion and mistrust, regarding the reason why IDPs moved to such environments in the first place (Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre, 2018b). Further, IDPs' movement to urban areas is also a major contributory factor to the "proliferation of informal settlements" (Mooney, 2005, p. 15). This was the situation in Kenya, where the steady flow of displaced rural population into Nairobi contributed significantly to the growth of Kibera, one of Kenya's largest informal settlements (Rhabaran, as cited in Internal Displacement Monitoring Center, 2018b, p. 3).

Many studies concur that IDPs living in non-camp settings are more than those registered in official camp settings (Beyani 2013; Controneo, 2017; Grip, 2017; Kirbyshire et al., 2017; Mohammed, 2017; United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, 2016; Carillo, 2009). Caron (2017), observes an emerging trend whereby local families host displaced persons in their homes. This development is gaining wide acceptability as a form of shelter for internally displaced persons or families: host families allow IDPs to dwell in their homes for personal reasons ranging from cultural norms about hospitality, normative expectations to help those in need, or reciprocation of assistance once received. Similarly, Erong (2017) confirms this position by concluding that IDPs' preference for host communities is based on the "significant roles of saving lives and building the resilience of displaced persons" that communities play (p. 36).

However, Controneo (2017) observes that the arrival of IDPs at host communities increases the availability of unskilled labor particularly in the informal sector, which may increase the unemployment rate and possibly, a drop in wages. This development could eventually change existing demographic balances (Haider, 2014). Although convincing arguments that point to a potentially negative correlation between the influx of a large displaced population and insecurity in host communities abound, a considerable body of work that portrays positive social impact cannot be overlooked. In other words, the active presence of displaced persons may improve the local economy, and ipso facto ignite beneficial social opportunities (Caron, 2017).



Therefore, the resultant effects of IDPs in non-camp settings are "context-specific, and highly conditional on local policies towards displaced persons as well as cultural (dis)similarities" (Fajth et al., 2019, p. 10).

Concerning the management of IDPs, the Guiding Principles state that national governments are responsible for the protection of internally displaced persons (IDPs). As far as Nigeria is concerned, however, a multidimensional crisis has affected many states in the north-central, northeast, and northwest geopolitical zones. Long-standing conflicts between farmers and herders, indigenes and settlers couched in ethno-religious overtones, banditry in villages, and above all, repeated terrorist attacks since 2008 are among the causes of forced displacement of people that put a lot of strain on the coping strategies of residents of Kwara state in recent time. According to the Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre (IDMC) of 18 May 2022, between 2008 and 2021, available data indicate a record of 4.4 million internally displaced persons in Nigeria.

Osagioduwa and Oluwakorede (2016), opine that the Federal Government of Nigeria attempted to alleviate the suffering of displaced people in the North-East geo-political zone through the establishment of institutions and programs like the National Emergency Management Agency (NEMA), which has been domesticated at the State Government level as State Emergency Management Agency (SEMA); the National Commission for Refugees (NCFR), which was expanded into the National Commission for Refugees, Migrants and Internally Displaced Persons (NCRM); the North-East Development Commission (NEDC) and the Presidential Committee on North-East Initiative (PCNI). However, Itumo and Nwefuru (2016) argue that the establishment of these institutions and programs is inadequate for solving the problems of IDPs. This is because they lack effective delivery of strategies to resolve the challenges of IDPs in Nigeria.

Onuoha (2017) note that the provision of temporary IDP camps seems to be the most important measure that the government has taken to address the IDPs' problem while keeping a blind eye on the plight of the undocumented category of. Other challenges constraining the effective management of IDPs identified by previous studies include poor funding, corruption, overlapping IDP management policies and institutions, unpreparedness, and negative attitudes of host communities, among other things (Obikaeze & Onuoha, 2017; Olagunju, 2006; Osagioduwa & Oluwakorede, 2016; Nasa'i, 2018).

The host community often feels insecure by the presence of IDPs who may resort to anti-social behaviors, as a coping mechanism. For instance, on the 25th of March 2017, the Borno Command of the Nigeria Security and Civil Defense Corps (NSCDC) arrested 3 IDPs for allegedly vandalizing high-tension cables belonging to Yola Electricity Distribution Company, which supplied electricity to Konduga and Bama, in Borno State, Nigeria (Oseni, 2017).

Itumo and Nwefuru (2016) argue that IDPs have the potential to destabilize peace in the host community given that high level of impoverishment in displacement, coupled with a negative perception of IDPs by the host community can create a background for future clashes and conflict. Similarly, maltreatment of IDPs can become a grievance against which IDP communities unite. The study argues further that in protracted



situations, there is a greater probability that IDPs will become involved in political violence and susceptible to militant recruitment. In the next section, the correlation between forced displacement and insecurity is guided by relevant theories.

Theoretical Framework

The Migration Theory and the Intergroup Threat Theory provide a theoretical framework for this study by way of explaining the experience of IDPs who live in non-camp settings, and the conditions under which they enter into host communities, which have broad security implications for all parties involved. On the one hand, the Migration Theory was made popular in the Laws of Migration, as developed by Ernest Ravenstein (1889), to explain population movement (Stefan, 2015). The theory was reformulated by Everette S. Lee (1966), to emphasize the push and pull factors of migration: whereas unfavorable conditions push people out of their usual place of residence, more convenient conditions pull them in the opposite direction, bearing in mind that obstacles (distance and transportation) have to be overcome before migration is completed.

The framework of push and pull factors of migration explains internal displacement in the northern geo-political zones in Nigeria, in the sense that mass displacement in these areas is, to a large extent, the outcome of incessant, deadly attacks by Boko Haram members and bandits since 2008. Therefore, loss of lives, livelihoods, and general situation of insecurity in the zone constitute the “push factors”, forcing people to leave their communities of origin for other places considered safer. Conversely, the relative safety of communities in other parts of the country, coupled with the likelihood of better employment opportunities “pull” them into places like Kwara state

On the other hand, Intergroup Threat Theory propounded by Stephan et al. (2015) posits that intergroup threat is experienced when members of one group perceive that another group is in a position to harm them. The Integrated Threat Theory advances two models of threat – realistic threats, which have to do with competition over scarce resources and or physical harm; and symbolic threats, which arise from differences in culture, moral values, standards, and/or beliefs. The dangers of insecurity posed by the presence of IDPs who live in non-camp settings to host communities, the extra layer of pressure on livelihood opportunities in the informal labor market, as well as the fear of conflict, arguably align with realistic threats of the Intergroup Threat Theory. Symbolic differences are found in cultural values, languages, and traditions even though members of host communities and IDPs are Nigerians.

Discussion of findings

This empirical study adopted a mixed method of inquiry to obtain qualitative and quantitative data. It utilized the Multi-stage, Snowball, and purposive sampling techniques in determining the study population, using the Taro Yamane method: $n = N/1 + N(e)$. Six LGAs (Moro, Patigi, Ilorin West, Ilorin South, Offa, and Irepodun) with a projected population of 1,570,200 were purposively selected. Out of a study population of 200 participants, 188 copies of a questionnaire were retrieved. Exploratory data were also gathered from focus group discussions (FGDs) and semi-



structured interviews. Quantitative data gathered from the fieldwork were analyzed with a descriptive statistical procedure using simple percentages and frequencies while qualitative data were analyzed using Thematic Analysis Software.

Data analysis was intended to address the research questions about the identification of unregistered IDPs within a few selected host communities, the security implications associated with their unexpected presence in such communities, and the evaluation of strategies put in place by the Kwara state government to deal with the menace of insecurity as follows.

1. Locating Non-camp settings in the selected Host Communities

Responding to a questionnaire, resident participants listed out different kinds of shelter arrangements for IDPs who live in non-camp settings (NCS), in host communities in Kwara State: informal settlements (78%); host families (70%); and rented accommodation (60%). Data generated from FGDs and KIIs corroborated the presence of IDPs in such informal settlements: host families, rented accommodation, and uncompleted and abandoned buildings.

It was noted that participant IDPs who live with host families were sheltered by their hosts under two types of arrangement. The first is based on social connections that existed between hosts and IDPs before displacement. IDPs were emphatic that this type of arrangement was not brokered by any intermediary and that they were accepted by their hosts on the strength of their previous relationship. The second arrangement revolves around social connections within the Hausa communities where IDPs seek refuge. Under this arrangement, Hausa leaders (the Seriki or Wakili Hausa) facilitate shelter, mostly, for women and children, to reduce their vulnerabilities.

IDPs who live in uncompleted and abandoned buildings claimed that they sought and obtained the permission of the owners, through Hausa community leaders. However, it is not in all such cases that permission is obtained. For instance, a family of IDPs from Kebbi State took shelter in a dilapidated and abandoned building in Offa, Offa LGA without the permission of its owners. According to the family head, the decision to occupy the building was taken to address the challenge of sleeping in the open when it rains, as he does not have a steady source of income to pay rent. The study also found that IDPs who live in informal settlements in host communities in Kwara State are located on the outskirts of towns and villages, where they constructed makeshift shelters on parcels of land released to them by their host communities. This type of setting was found in Oloru and Iyana Momo in Moro L.G.A; Oko Olowo in Ilorin West LGA; and Olomi Funfun, Kere-Aje Ilota and Gbosun in Offa LGA.

2. Security Implications of IDPs in Host Communities

Quantitative data show that security concerns have the highest frequency at 81%. This is closely followed by concerns about threats to physical and mental health (73%). It is pertinent to note that, perceptions of vulnerability to attacks (64%); the possibility of demographic shock (60%); concerns about competition for patronage (54%); condescending attitude of the host population (52%), and Indoctrination (33%); are latent platforms for the outbreak of conflict, which could result into a breach of public peace and possibly, secondary displacement for IDPs.



Through FGDs and KIIs, the same conclusion was reached: the presence of IDPs in NCS has security implications for host communities, as well as for the IDPs in Kwara State. For instance, most of the IDPs enter into host communities with varying degrees of impoverishment and no visible means of livelihood. Suffice it to say, that residents feel threatened by the increasing population of the IDPs who entered their communities under the cover of darkness, coupled with the absence of profiling systems. Arguably, the perceived or actual threats to the safety of lives and property of residents who participated in the study stem from their inability to identify the IDPs who live in their communities. In addition, the concerns expressed by the host population and the IDPs have clear undertones of threat to all seven major areas of Human Security.

3. Strategies used by the Kwara State government

In explaining the strategies adopted by the Kwara State Government to address IDP issues, the Secretary of the Kwara State Emergency and Relief Services Office remarked that efforts of the State Government include setting up Internally Displaced Persons Camp, which could either be temporary or permanent shelters. The Management of the Zonal Office of the National Commission for Refugees, Migrants & Internally Displaced Persons (NCRMI) explained their collaborative activities with the Kwara State Government, particularly, in the area of facilitation of relief materials for victims of displacement-inducing events in the State.

The study found that the major strategy of the Kwara State Government is setting-up up shelters, as temporary IDP camps in situations of internal population displacement. In such situations, the State Government set up school premises as shelters for displaced persons. An instance of such was in Alapa, Asa L.G.A, where an outbreak of violence between Pastoralists and Farmers resulted in population displacement. In addition, the State Government also responds to situations of population displacement by setting up an Emergency and Relief Committee to oversee the welfare of displaced persons. The committee is saddled with the responsibility of distributing food and other relief materials to IDPs. There is no gainsaying that, the submission of the Secretary, of Kwara State Emergency and Relief Services Office reveals that the State Government's strategy for disaster-induced displacement is more reactive than proactive. This is particularly true in the area of setting up committees, whose responsibilities start when displacement has already occurred.

The zonal office of NCRM&I confirmed that the IDP camp in Patigi LGA is the only permanent IDP camp in Kwara State, established by the Federal Government and designated to receive people displaced by flood in the area. However, with a 10,000-capacity, the Patigi camp lacks basic amenities. Not only it is mostly vacant for about seven months in a year, its location in the Kwara North Senatorial District makes it inaccessible to IDPs from Kwara Central and South Senatorial Districts. The camp has several blocks of rooms that shelter victims of perennial floods in the area. During displacement, the State Government in conjunction with relevant stakeholders provides relief materials for displaced persons in the camp. The State Emergency and Relief Services Office acknowledged the presence of IDPs who live in non-camp settings across Kwara State but there was no reference to government-facilitated safety net,



official monitoring & evaluation systems, or any other mechanism for tracking either the locations or movement of such people for possible assistance.

Conclusion

Internal displacement of the population has attracted the attention of government and non-governmental organizations the world over in recent times and it has led to policy formulation and implementation. Irrespective of the causes of displacement (natural or violent-induced disasters), IDPs are registered and settled in specifically designed camps from where they ought to receive handouts. Following many years of insecurity in the northeast of Nigeria, the location of Kwara State in the north-central geopolitical zone has witnessed an influx of displaced persons coming from the conflict zones. However, 16 years after its creation, the Kwara State Emergency and Relief Services Office has yet to be upgraded to a full-fledged State Emergency Management Agency, which is a limitation to the State's capacity to manage disaster-induced population displacement. It is thus apparent, that the mechanism for addressing IDP issues in Kwara State is designed to care for victims of displacement-inducing events that happened within the State, while there was no indication of any consideration for displaced persons from other States of the Federation, who fled to Kwara State, for refuge. Through a questionnaire and a series of interviews, this paper has found out that the majority of them live in non-camp settings across the state for various reasons. Their presence in host communities has a lot of insecurity implications. Being undocumented they are also unknown to the government and in the long run, they constitute a menace to peace and development. A high level of impoverishment indisplacement threatens all seven major components of human security, while the lack of a systemic and equitable approach to handling the issue of IDPs outside camps may result in protracted, secondary, or repeated waves of displacement with attendant security implications. The study, therefore, recommends that the Kwara State Government should keep in mind that the state of harmony is a preferred location for displaced persons coming from other states. As a result, it should look beyond displacement-inducing events that occurred within the State, and strengthen, in collaboration with the federal government, the capacity of the Zonal Office of NCRMI to establish an efficient profiling system for all IDPs for necessary interventions.

References

- Abiona, O. S. (2015, March 15). Insurgency: Kwara asks landlords to demand international passports from tenants. *Ilorin.info*. Retrieved from <http://www.ilorin.info.org>
- Acharya, A. (2001). Human Security: East versus West. *International Journal Vol. 56, No. 3, pp. 442-460*.
- Aderogba, K, A, (2018). A Model to Meet the Challenges of IDPs and Pave the Way for Sustainability of Affected Communities Towards Sustainable Development in Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa Vol. 10, No. 1 pp. 234-260*.



- Ajiboye, S. K. (2013). *Problems, Counselling Needs and Sources of Support for Internally Displaced Persons in Nigeria*. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Department of Counsellor Education, University of Ilorin.
- Ajikobi, A. (2019, June 18). Okada Riders/KWARTMA Clash: Kwara Police Arrests 7. *The National Pilot*. Retrieved from <http://www.thenationalpilot-ng.cdn.ampproject.org>
- Amade, A. (2015, March 15). Police kill notorious hoodlum in a 9-hr gun battle in Ilorin. *Vanguard News*. Retrieved from <http://www.vanguardngr.com.cdn.ampproject.org>
- Arredondo, C.I.A.; Salcedo, R. & Lopez, R. V. (2011). The Effects of Internal Displacement on Host Communities: A Case Study of Suba and Ciudad Bolivar Localities in Bogota, Colombia. Brookings LSE Project on Internal Displacement.
- Baldwin, D. A. (1997). The Concept of Security. *Review of International Studies*. Vol. 23, pp. 5-26.
- Beyani, C. (2013). *Under the Radar: Internally Displaced Persons in Non-camp Settings*. Brookings-LSE Project on Internal Displacement.
- Buzan, B. (1991). New Patterns of Global Security in the Twenty-First Century. *Royal Institute of International Affairs*. Vol. 67, No. 3, pp. 431-451.
- Carillo, A. C. (2009). Internal Displacement in Colombia: Humanitarian, Economic and Social Consequences in Urban Settings and Current Challenges. *International Review of the Red Cross*. Vol. 91, 875, pp. 527-546.
- Caron, C. (2017). Hosting the Displaced – and being hosted. *Forced Migration Reviews*, 55, 56-58.
- Cohen, R. & Deng, F. (1998). *Masses in Flight: The Global Crisis of Internal Displacement*. Washington D.C. Brookings Institution
- Controneo, A. (2017). Specificities and Challenges of Responding to Internal Displacement in Urban Settings. *International Review of the Red Cross* (2017), 99 (1), pp. 283-318.
- Crisp, J. Morris, T. & Refstie, H. (2012). Displacement in Urban Areas: New Challenges, New Partnerships. *Disaster*, 36 (S.I) pp. 23-42.
- Daily Trust (2023, January 26), “10,000-capacity Kwara IDP camp Lacks amenities – Coordinator”, Retrieved from <https://dailytrust.com>.
- Degaut, M. (2015). What is Security? Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/310495076>
- Depetris-Chauvin, E. & Santos, R. J. (2018). Followed by Violence: Forced Migration and Homicides. *Working Paper No. 513*



- Derrida, J. (2005). The Principles of Hospitality. *Parallax*, Vol. 11, pp. 6-9.
- Erong, C. (2017). Community Hosting of IDPs in Maiduguri, Borno State – Nigeria. *International Institute of Social Studies*, The Hague, Netherlands.
- EUAA (2021). *Kwara*. Retrieved from <https://euaa.europa.eu>.
- Fajth, V., Bilgili, O, Loschmann, C. et al. (2019). How do Refugees Affect Social Life in Host Communities? The Case of Congolese Refugees in Rwanda. *Comparative Migration Studies*, 7(33), pp. 2-21. Springer Open Access. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1189/s.40878-019>
- Fielden, A. (2008). Ignored Displaced Persons: the Plight of IDPs in Urban Areas. New Issues in Refugee Research. Research Paper 101161. *UNHCR Policy Development and Evaluation Service*. Switzerland. Retrieved from www.unhcr.org
- Gomez, O. A, & Gasper, D. (2013). *Good Practices in Addressing Human Security through Human Development Reports*. Report prepared for the Human Development Office, UNDP.
- Grip, L. (2017). Coping with Crises: Forced Displacement in Fragile Contexts in *SIPRI Yearbook 2017: Armaments, Disarmament and International Security* pp.253-283 Retrieved from <https://www.sipriyearbook.org>
- Haider, H. (2014). Refugee, Internally Displaced Persons and Host Community Radicalization. *Governance Social Development Humanitarian Conflict (GSDHC) Helpdesk Research Report*. Retrieved from www.gsdhc.org
- Haynes, S. (2010). Displaced People and the Challenge of Development. The University of Leeds.
- Human Development Report (1994). New York: Oxford University Press. Retrieved from <https://www.hdr.undp.org/en/reports/global/hdr1994>.
- Internal Displacement Monitoring Center. (2018 a) *Global Report on Internal Displacement 2018*. International Organization for Migration. Retrieved from <http://www.displacement.iom.int>
- Internal Displacement Monitoring Center. (2018 b). *Mid-Year Figures: Internal Displacement in 2018*. International Organization for Migration. Retrieved from <http://www.displacement.iom.int>
- Internal Displacement Monitoring Center. (2019). *Global Report on Internal Displacement*. Norwegian Refugee Council.
- Internal Displacement Monitoring Center. (2020). *Global Report on Internal Displacement*. Norwegian Refugee Council.
- Itumo, A. & Nwefuru, N. H. (2016). Nigerian State and Response to Plights of Persons Internally Displaced by Boko Haram Insurgents: Implications for Socio-



Economic and Political Development. *Research on Humanities and Social Sciences*. Vol. 6, No 15

- Kirbyshire, A., Wilkinson, V. L. M., & Batra, P. (2017). Mass Displacement and the Challenge of Urban Resilience. *Working Paper, Overseas Development Institute*. London.
- Lee, E. S. (1966). A Theory of Migration. *Demography*, 3 (1), pp. 47-57. Retrieved from <https://link.springer.com>article>
- Mohammed, F. K. (2017). The Causes and Consequences of Internal Displacement in Nigeria and Related Governance Challenges. *Working Paper FG 8, SWP Berlin*. Retrieved from <http://www.swp.berlin.org>
- Mooney, E. (2005). The Concept of Internal Displacement and the Case for Internally Displaced Persons as a Category of Concern. *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Vol. 24, Issue 3, pp 9-26. UNHCR. Retrieved from <https://www.core.ac.uk>download>.
- Nasa'i, M. A. (2018). Forced Displacement and the Plight of Internally Displaced Persons in Northeast Nigeria. *Humanities and Social Science Research*. Vol. 1, No 1 pp. 23-30.
- Nye, J. S. & Lynn-Jones, S. (1988). A Report of a Conference on the State of Field. *International Security Studies*. Vol. 12, No. 4, pp. 5-27
- Obikaeze, V. C. & Onuoha, C. B. (2017). The Nigerian State and Management of Internally Displaced Persons from 2012-2016. *African Journal of Politics and Society*, pp 4-21.
- Ogunwale, B. (2019, June 18). Police react as Okada riders protest against extortion, harassment in Ilorin. *Daily Post*. Retrieved from <http://www.dailypost-ng.cdn.ampproject.org>
- Olagunju, O. (2006). Management of Internal Displacement in Nigeria. Unpublished *Working Paper Submitted to the Department of Legal Anthropology, Brandeis University*.
- Osagioduwa, E. & Oluwakorede, I. O. (2016). Management of Internally Displaced Persons in Africa: Comparing Nigeria and Cameroon. *African Research Review*. Vol. 10 (1) No 40 pp. 193-210.
- Oseni, R. E. (2017, March 24). 3 IDPs Arrested Over Stolen High Tension Cables. *PM News*. Retrieved from <http://www.pmnewsnigeria.com>
- Oyelude, A. A. & Osuigwe, N. (2017). Gender dynamics in the Boko Haram Insurgency in Northern Nigeria: A Review of Internally Displaced Persons. *LIS Professionals Supporting Women Living in Conflict Situations*.
- Sirriyeh, A. (2013). Hosting strangers: hospitality and family practices in fostering unaccompanied refugee young people. *Child and Family Social Work*. 18 (1), 45-14.



- Stefan, N. V. (2015). A Theory of Forced Migration. Available at <https://www.academia.edu/39727188> accessed on 17th December 2019.
- Stephan, W. G., Ybarra, O., & Rios, K. (2015). Intergroup Threat Theory. In: Nelson, T. D. (Ed.) *Handbook of Prejudice, stereotyping, and discrimination* (p. 255-278). Psychology Press.
- United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. (1998). *Guiding Principles on Internal Displacement*. Retrieved from <http://www.refworld.org> on 3rd March 2019.
- United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. (2001). Frequently asked questions about the 1951 Refugee Convention. Retrieved from <http://www.unhcr.org>
- United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees. (2005). Global Report 2005. Retrieved from <http://www.unhcr.org>
- Wyrzykowski, P. (2010). Why being able to Return Home should be Part of Transitional Justice: Urban IDPs in Kampala and their Quest for Durable Solution. *Working Paper No. 2.Beyond Juba Project, Makerere University, Uganda*. Retrieved from www.beyondjuba.org